

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/990,343	11/23/2001	Takayuki lijima	041465-5129	041465-5129 2295	
9629	7590 10/25/2004		EXAMINER		
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			DINH,	DINH, TAN X	
1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WIISHINGI	511, DC 20001		2653		
		DATE MAILED: 10/25/200	DATE MAILED: 10/25/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/990,343	IIJIMA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	TAN X. DINH	2653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status ·	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, <b>4</b> 5	33 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application	<b>n.</b>					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o		, ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			, ,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
,	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:  1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stage			
application from the International Bureau	-		o .			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Motice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/05/03.	5) Notice of Informal Page 6) Other:		D-152)			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/990,343

Art Unit: 2653

- 1) Applicant's election of Group C (claims 9-18) in the reply filed on 8/09/2004 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2) The preliminary amendment filed 8/09/2004 is acknowledged. Claims 1-8 and 19-24 have been canceled.
- The I.D.S filed 8/05/2003 has been considered by the Examiner. However, the Japan and/or foreign document(s), if they have not been written in English, are considered to the extent that could be understood from the English Abstract and the drawings.

Form PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 is(are) attached herein.

4) The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The following title is suggested.

## APPARATUS CAPABLE OF EDITING MANAGEMENT INFORMATION ON OPTICAL RECORDING MEDIUM.

5) The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

6) (e) the invention was described in:

Art Unit: 2653

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or

- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7) Claims 9 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by IJICHI et al (6,542,445).

IJICHI et al discloses an information editing apparatus for editing management information to be recorded on an information storage medium, the management information (Fig.7, TOC) including track number (Fig.7, TRK1-TRK5) information imparted to one track and group management information (Fig.7, Play-list Table) for managing one or a plurality of tracks as one group, as claimed in claim 9,

Wherein when an editing instruction is issued in order to change a logical position of track belonging to one group, the correspondence relationship of track number information and group management information is automatically edited in accordance with a new logical position of track (Fig.7, Play-lists PL1 and PL2. In this case, the track number of TRK1-TRK5 changes logical position on play-list PL1 and PL2 and the correspondence relationship of track number information and group management

Art Unit: 2653

information automatically edited according to new logical position of tracks TRK1-TRK5 ).

As to claim 18, IJICHI et al shows when an editing instruction is issued in order to group-manage a track which is not under group management, a new group is created, the track is allocated to created group, and a track number imparted to a track which is allocated to new group and is not under group management is changed (Fig.7, the group management is TOC with tracks TRK1-TRK5 in sequence track number 1-5. The new group is created (play-list PL2) and the track numbers impart to new group (play-list PL2) are changed (1=TRK5, 2=TRK4, 3=TRK3, 4=TRK2, etc., )).

- 8) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- onsidering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and

Art Unit: 2653

invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

10) Claims 10-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over IJICHI et al (6,542,445).

IJICHI et al discloses an information editing apparatus for editing management information to be recorded on an information storage medium, the management information (Fig.7, TOC) including track number (Fig.7, TRK1-TRK5) information imparted to one track and group management information (Fig.7, Play-list Table) for managing one or a plurality of tracks as one group as claimed in claims 13 and 14, except to specifically show the track number information is changed when tracks from one group imparts to another group and vice versa. It would have been obvious to someone within the level of skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to change the track number when imparts from one group to another group in IJICHI et al's editing apparatus as claimed, the rationale is as follows:

in figure 7, IJICHI et al teaches that when creates a group (play-list) from a storage medium, the track number information imparted to track remaining in one group and track number information imparted to track caused to belong to another group is changed depending on the layout of that particular group (figure

Art Unit: 2653

7, the track number information (figure 7, number 1,2,3, .. etc) on one group (play-list) when imparts to another group is changed, for example, TRK3 has track number information is 1 on PL1, when impart to PL2 has track number information changes to 3.

Obviously, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made would have been motivated to change the track number information in IJICHI et al's editing apparatus when impart from one group to another group and vice versa.

As to claim 17, it would have been obvious to change the track number information when integrate a plural groups into one group as claimed because: as indicated above, the track number information are changed when the tracks impart from one group to another group and vice versa, therefore, the track number information would also be changed when integrate a plurality of groups into one group since the number of tracks on one group is different (more or less tracks) with the number of tracks before integrated.

As to claim 10, IJICHI et al shows the new logical position is included in an exist group and existing group is divided into a plurality of groups (Fig.7, the existing group (TRK1-TRK5) is managed by management information (TOC) and divides into two group play-list PL1 and PL2.

As to claim 11, IJICHI et al shows group information is imparted to a plurality of groups (Fig.7, group include tracks TRk1-TRK5 imparts to groups PL1 and PL2 ).

Art Unit: 2653

As to claim 12, IJICHI et al shows the same group information is imparted to a plurality of groups (Fig.7, group include tracks TRk1-TRK5 imparts to groups PL1 and PL2 ).

As to claims 15 and 16, it would have been obvious to someone within the level of skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to specify track number information and group information in editing system since this technique are old and widely used in the art as admitted by applicant in the specification, pages 3-4.

11) The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure ( See form PTO-892 attached herein ).

Applicant is reminded that in amending in response to a rejection of claims ( if the rejection involves with any applicable arts ), the patentable novelty must be clearly shown in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited and the objection made. Applicant must also show how the amendments avoid such references and objections. See 37 CFR §1.111(c).

12) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TAN X. DINH whose telephone number is (703) 308-4859. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00AM - 5:30PM.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 2653

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

TAN DINH
OCTOBER 22, 2004